

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,571	07/18/2003	Tae-Jung Lee	2557-000160/US	2557-000160/US 2114	
30593	7590 08/30/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS,	DICKEY & PIERCE,	LE, THAO P			
P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818		
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/621,571	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thao P. Le	2818	A			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timey within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	ugust 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	,					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.						
·	· <u> </u>					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:		-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/621,571 Page 2

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-11 and 25-30 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the grounds that 1) the search and examination of the entire application could be performed without serious burden, and 2) that claim 25 is a linking claim and examiner must examine the claims to the non-elected invention that are linked to the elected invention. These grounds are not found persuasive because:

- 1) The fields of search for method' and device claims are NOT coextensive and the determinations of patentability of method and device claims are different, that is process limitations and device limitations are given weight differently in determining the patentablitity of the claimed inventions. Also, the strategies for doing text searching of the device claims and method claims are different. Thus, separate searches are required.
- 2) Claim 25 discloses a memory that is made based on the method of claim 12, claim 25 should belongs to group II, claims 12-24. The device in claim 25 might not the same as the device in claim 1 since the device in claim 1 might be formed by a method different from the method of claim 12.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim 25 is regrouped to group II, non-elected group, now contains claims 12-25.

Art Unit: 2818

Claims 1-11 and 26-30 are present for further examination. Applicants have the right to file a divisional application directed to non-elected claims 12-25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Claims 1, 26 are unclear and confuse. How does a first portion of floating gate relate to a second portion of floating gate?? Is the second floating portion on top or parallel to the first floating portion? Are they formed in the same gate stack, formed on the same or different portion of the substrate? How does a floating gate transistor relate to a gate stack? Is the floating gate transistor the same as the floating gate in gate stack?

Claims 2-11 and 27-30 are dependent on the rejected claims and therefore are considered as indefinite.

When responding to the office action, Applicants' are advice to provide the examiner with the line numbers and page numbers in the application and/or references cited to assist the examiner to locate the appropriate paragraphs.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) day from the day of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see M.P.E.P 710.02(b)).

Art Unit: 2818

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao P. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7-6).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao P. Le Examiner

Art Unit 2818